
Annals of Clinical and Analytical Medicine

Assessing the Impact of Antimicrobial Surfaces on Reducing Hospital-Acquired Infections

*Hassan Nasser Almarqan (1) *, Ali Nasser Almarqan (2), Marqan Nasser Al Marqan (3), Hamad Salem Hamad Alzamanan (4), Mohammed Nasser Almurkan (5), Majed Mubarak Ali Al-Yami (6), Hadi Amer Hamad Al Salem (7), Abdullah Mana Salem Al Muneef (8)*

- (1) *Biomedical Engineering, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
- (2) *Health Information, Najran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.*
- (3) *Health Information Technology, Najran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.*
- (4) *Health Administration, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
- (5) *X-ray Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
- (6) *Epidemiological Observer, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
- (7) *Healthy Assistant, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
- (8) *Pharmacy Technician, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*

Received 13/10/2022; revised 21/11/2022; accepted 17/12/2022

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Introduction: Studies have shown that surfaces with antimicrobial properties can reduce microbial contamination by up to 24-64%, depending on the type of pathogen and surface material used. This systematic review aimed to fulfill this need by offering a detailed analysis of the role of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing Hospital-Acquired Infections.

Methods: This systematic review utilized a retrospective approach to investigate the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), involving a detailed search of electronic databases like PubMed and MEDLINE, and adhering to strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. The review process included screening studies for relevance, in-depth review of selected articles, quality assessment, and a combination of narrative synthesis and meta-analysis, ensuring a thorough and unbiased analysis of the impact of antimicrobial surfaces in healthcare infection control.

Results: The systematic review encompassed seven studies that assessed the impact of antimicrobial surfaces on reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), covering a broad spectrum of healthcare settings, from small hospitals with 150 beds to large ones with 780 beds. These studies explored a variety of antimicrobial surfaces, including copper alloys, silver-impregnated materials, and self-disinfecting coatings, each with distinct properties and effectiveness against common healthcare pathogens. The findings revealed that the effectiveness of these surfaces in reducing HAIs varied, with risk differences ranging from 12% to 31%, highlighting their potential role in diverse healthcare environments.

Conclusions: This systematic review reveals that antimicrobial surfaces, including copper alloys, silver-impregnated materials, and self-disinfecting coatings, are effective in reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), with their effectiveness that may reach a third reduction of the total risk.

Keywords: *Antimicrobial Surfaces, Hospital Infections, Infection Control, Patient Safety.*

Introduction

Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are a critical issue in healthcare, affecting approximately one in 31 hospitalized patients at any given time according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [1]. These infections significantly compromise patient safety, leading to an estimated 99,000 deaths annually in the United States alone [2]. The emergence of antimicrobial surfaces in healthcare settings presents a novel approach to mitigating this risk. These surfaces, engineered to inhibit the growth and dissemination of pathogens, are increasingly recognized for their potential to reduce the transmission of infections within hospital environments [3, 4]. The integration of antimicrobial surfaces in hospitals is gaining traction as a preventive strategy against HAIs [5]. Studies have shown that surfaces with antimicrobial properties can reduce microbial contamination by up to 24-64%, depending on the type of pathogen and surface material used [4]. By exploring various studies that assess the efficacy of these surfaces in diverse healthcare settings, the evidence is accumulated about the effectiveness of the antimicrobial surfaces.

There are several critical areas in the prevention of hospital-acquired infections: the variety of antimicrobial surfaces employed in healthcare facilities, their modes of action, the range of pathogens they are effective against, and their success rate in diminishing the incidence of HAIs [6, 7]. Research indicates that certain antimicrobial surfaces can reduce bacterial counts by over 80%, showcasing their potential effectiveness. Furthermore, the review will evaluate the practical aspects of implementing these surfaces in terms of durability, cost-effectiveness, and possible side effects [8]. The feasibility of widespread adoption of these surfaces is a crucial factor in their potential impact. The significance of this review is underscored by the escalating challenge of antibiotic resistance and the persistent prevalence of HAIs [9]. As reported by the World Health Organization (WHO), antibiotic-resistant infections are on the rise, with some estimates suggesting that they could cause 10 million deaths per year by 2050 if not adequately is

addressed [10]. The review aims to provide healthcare practitioners, policymakers, and other stakeholders with a comprehensive synthesis of evidence regarding antimicrobial surfaces as a key component in infection control strategies. The rationale for this review is anchored in the urgent need to find effective strategies to combat the rise of HAIs and antibiotic resistance. With HAIs being responsible for substantial morbidity, mortality, and increased healthcare costs, accounting for billions of dollars in healthcare spending annually, there is a pressing need for innovative and effective infection control measures [11]. This systematic review aimed to fulfill this need by offering a detailed analysis of the role of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing HAIs. By collating, evaluating, and synthesizing the existing literature, the review seeks to determine the overall efficacy and practicality of antimicrobial surfaces in healthcare settings. The ultimate goal is to inform and guide healthcare professionals and policymakers in making evidence-based decisions for improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden of HAIs in healthcare facilities worldwide.

Methods

In conducting this systematic review, we employed a retrospective approach to examine the impact of antimicrobial surfaces on reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs). Our search strategy involved an extensive review of electronic databases, including PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library. The search terms used were "antimicrobial surfaces," "hospital-acquired infections," "HAIs," "infection control," "nosocomial infections," "antibacterial surfaces," and "antimicrobial coatings." These terms were combined in various ways and supplemented with both MeSH terms and free-text words to ensure comprehensive coverage. For the inclusion criteria, we focused on studies that were published in English, conducted in hospital or healthcare settings, centered on antimicrobial surfaces,

included empirical data on infection rates or the efficacy of antimicrobial surfaces, and utilized a controlled study design. Conversely, we excluded studies not published in peer-reviewed journals, those focusing solely on antimicrobial agents without a specific reference to surfaces, as well as reviews, commentaries, editorials, studies without clear outcome measures related to HAIs, and non-human or *in vitro* studies. The study selection process unfolded in several stages. Initially, titles and abstracts of articles retrieved were screened for relevance. Subsequently, the full texts of potentially relevant articles were reviewed in detail to ascertain their eligibility based on our inclusion and exclusion criteria. For studies deemed eligible, data pertaining to study design, setting, types of antimicrobial surfaces examined, pathogens involved, and outcomes related to HAIs were meticulously extracted. We also assessed the quality of these studies using standardized tools appropriate for each study design. Finally, we synthesized and analyzed the data, employing both a narrative synthesis of the findings and a meta-analysis where feasible.

This methodology was chosen to ensure a comprehensive, unbiased analysis of the existing literature on the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing hospital-acquired infections. Through this rigorous approach, the review aimed to provide reliable and actionable insights into the role of antimicrobial surfaces in infection control within healthcare settings.

Results and discussion

The systematic review included a total of seven studies, each examining the impact of antimicrobial surfaces on reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) [7, 12-17]. These studies varied considerably in terms of sample size, settings, types of antimicrobial surfaces used, and the effectiveness of these interventions. The size of targeted hospitals ranged from a small hospital with 150 beds to a large hospital involving 780 beds [13, 17]. These studies were conducted in diverse healthcare facilities [7, 14], including general hospitals [13, 15], intensive care units (ICUs), and long-term care facilities [16, 17], reflecting a wide range of healthcare environments.

The studies investigated various types of antimicrobial surfaces. These included copper alloy surfaces, silver-impregnated materials, and self-disinfecting coatings [7, 14-17]. Each of these surfaces has unique properties and mechanisms of action against pathogens commonly found in healthcare settings. The effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing HAIs varied across the studies, with risk differences and percentages demonstrating a range of impacts. Notably, one study reported a risk difference of 31% in the reduction of HAIs with the use of copper alloy surfaces, with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 18-42% [7, 15]. Another study focusing on silver-impregnated materials found a 25% reduction in infection rates, with a CI of 15-35% [13, 14, 17]. In contrast, a study evaluating self-disinfecting coatings reported a more modest reduction in HAIs, with a risk difference of 12% and a CI of 5-19% [13, 16]. Overall, the results indicate that antimicrobial surfaces can be effective in reducing the incidence of HAIs, with the degree of effectiveness varying depending on the type of antimicrobial surface used and the specific healthcare setting.

These findings underscore the potential of antimicrobial surfaces as a valuable tool in the ongoing battle against HAIs in healthcare environments. The systematic review's findings, highlighted the varying degrees of effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces in different healthcare settings. These results contribute valuable insights into the ongoing discussion about the best practices for infection control in hospitals and other healthcare facilities. The risk differences observed in the included studies for antimicrobial surfaces range from 12% to 36%, indicating a significant potential for reducing HAIs. Notably, the effectiveness varies depending on the type of antimicrobial surface and the healthcare setting. For example, copper alloy surfaces used in general hospital wards showed a higher risk reduction in HAIs compared to self-disinfecting coatings used in long-term care facilities. This variation underscores the importance of context-specific solutions in infection control strategies [7, 13, 14, 16, 17]. When comparing these results to other infection control interventions reported in the medical literature, it's evident that antimicrobial surfaces hold a competitive edge in

certain scenarios. Traditional infection control measures, such as hand hygiene and regular cleaning protocols, typically show a risk reduction in the range of 12% to 34% [18]. For instance, hand hygiene interventions have been reported to reduce HAIs by about 22-39% [19], which is comparable to the effectiveness of silver-impregnated materials observed in our review. Furthermore, the use of antimicrobial surfaces as a supplementary measure to existing infection control practices could potentially amplify their effectiveness [20]. This synergistic approach is particularly pertinent in high-risk areas like ICUs and surgical wards, where the risk of HAIs is significantly higher. The findings from the included studies, where silver-impregnated materials and copper alloys were used in ICU settings, demonstrate a notable reduction in infection rates, aligning with the need for enhanced infection control measures in these critical areas.

It's also important to consider the long-term benefits and sustainability of implementing antimicrobial surfaces. While the initial costs might be higher compared to standard infection control practices, the persistent antimicrobial action of these surfaces could offer long-term cost savings by reducing the frequency of HAIs and associated treatment costs [21]. This aspect is particularly relevant given the growing concern over antibiotic resistance and the escalating costs of managing HAIs. However, there are limitations to this review that must be acknowledged. The variability in study designs, settings, and types of antimicrobial surfaces used makes direct comparison challenging. Additionally, most studies focused on short-term outcomes, and there is a lack of long-term data on the durability and sustained effectiveness of these surfaces. Future research should aim to address these gaps by conducting long-term studies in diverse healthcare settings. One of the primary strengths of this review lies in its comprehensive and methodical approach to collating and analyzing data from diverse healthcare settings. By including studies that varied in sample size, healthcare facility type, and types of antimicrobial surfaces, the review provides a broad perspective on the effectiveness of these surfaces in reducing hospital-acquired infections. This diversity allows for a more nuanced understanding of how different antimicrobial surfaces perform in various

contexts, offering valuable insights for healthcare professionals and policymakers. Additionally, the rigorous selection criteria and quality assessment of the included studies ensure the reliability and relevance of the findings. The use of risk differences and percentages with confidence intervals in reporting outcomes enhances the clarity and usefulness of the results, facilitating their applicability in real-world settings [22]. Furthermore, the review's focus on comparing the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces with other infection control interventions offers a practical perspective for healthcare facilities considering the adoption of these technologies. By situating the findings within the broader context of infection control strategies, the review addresses an essential need in the healthcare sector, the need for effective, evidence-based approaches to combat the rising tide of hospital-acquired infections. Despite its strengths, this review is not without limitations.

One significant limitation is the inherent heterogeneity in the study designs, intervention types, and healthcare settings of the included studies. This variability can complicate the process of drawing generalized conclusions about the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces across different settings. Additionally, the review primarily focuses on short-term outcomes, leaving a gap in the understanding of the long-term efficacy and durability of these surfaces. The lack of long-term data could limit the review's applicability in making sustained policy decisions. Moreover, the review is constrained by the scope of the available literature, which may not comprehensively cover all types of antimicrobial surfaces or healthcare environments. There is also a potential for publication bias, as studies with positive outcomes are more likely to be published than those with negative or inconclusive results. This bias could skew the overall perception of the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces. To address these limitations, future research should aim to include more long-term studies with consistent methodologies and broaden the scope to encompass a wider range of antimicrobial surface types and healthcare settings. The review suggests that antimicrobial surfaces represent a promising addition to the arsenal of infection control strategies in healthcare settings. Their effectiveness, particularly in high-risk areas, supports their

consideration as part of a comprehensive approach to reducing HAIs. However, their implementation should be viewed as a complementary strategy rather than a standalone solution, integrated thoughtfully with existing infection control measures like hand hygiene and routine cleaning. Continued research and evaluation are essential to fully understand the long-term impact and cost-effectiveness of these innovative interventions.

Conclusions

This systematic review provides a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of antimicrobial surfaces in reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) across various healthcare settings. The main outcome of the review indicates that antimicrobial surfaces, including copper alloys, silver-impregnated materials, and self-disinfecting coatings, can significantly reduce the incidence of HAIs. The effectiveness of these surfaces varies, with risk differences cloud reduce the infections by a third depending on the type of surface and the healthcare setting. For decision-makers in the healthcare sector, these findings offer valuable insights into the potential of antimicrobial surfaces as an effective component of infection control strategies. The integration of antimicrobial surfaces in high-risk areas such as ICUs, surgical wards, and long-term care facilities shows promise in enhancing patient safety and reducing the burden of HAIs. The review underscores the importance of context-specific solutions, suggesting that the choice of antimicrobial surface should be tailored to the specific needs and conditions of each healthcare facility.

Conflict of interests

The authors declared no conflict of interests.

References

1. Lobdell, K.W., S. Stamou, and J.A. Sanchez, *Hospital-acquired infections*. Surgical Clinics, 2012. **92**(1): p. 65-77.
2. Rao, R., et al., *Prevalence and risk factors for hospital-acquired infections "clean care is safer care*.

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, 2020. **11**(04): p. 38021-38028.

3. Page, K., M. Wilson, and I.P. Parkin, *Antimicrobial surfaces and their potential in reducing the role of the inanimate environment in the incidence of hospital-acquired infections*. Journal of materials chemistry, 2009. **19**(23): p. 3819-3831.

4. Muller, M.P., et al., *Antimicrobial surfaces to prevent healthcare-associated infections: a systematic review*. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2016. **92**(1): p. 7-13.

5. Otter, J.A., *An overview of the options for antimicrobial hard surfaces in hospitals*. Use of biocidal surfaces for reduction of healthcare acquired infections, 2014: p. 137-166.

6. Dunne, S.S., et al., *Specialized cleaning associated with antimicrobial coatings for reduction of hospital-acquired infection: opinion of the COST Action Network AMiCI (CA15114)*. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2018. **99**(3): p. 250-255.

7. Abraham, J., K. Dowling, and S. Florentine, *Can copper products and surfaces reduce the spread of infectious microorganisms and hospital-acquired infections?* Materials, 2021. **14**(13): p. 3444.

8. Tiller, J.C., *Antimicrobial surfaces*. Bioactive surfaces, 2011: p. 193-217.

9. Garau, J., et al., *Antibiotic stewardship challenges in the management of community-acquired infections for prevention of escalating antibiotic resistance*. Journal of global antimicrobial resistance, 2014. **2**(4): p. 245-253.

10. Talebi Bezmin Abadi, A., et al., *World Health Organization report: current crisis of antibiotic resistance*. BioNanoScience, 2019. **9**: p. 778-788.

11. Zimlichman, E., et al., *Health care-associated infections: a meta-analysis of costs and financial impact on the US health care system*. JAMA internal medicine, 2013. **173**(22): p. 2039-2046.

12. Michels, H.T., et al., *From laboratory research to a clinical trial: copper alloy surfaces kill bacteria and reduce hospital-acquired infections*. HERD: Health Environments Research & Design Journal, 2015. **9**(1): p. 64-79.

13. Ellingson, K.D., et al., *Impact of a novel antimicrobial surface coating on health care-associated infections and environmental bioburden at*

2 urban hospitals. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2020. **71**(8): p. 1807-1813.

14. Chung, C.J., et al., *An antimicrobial TiO₂ coating for reducing hospital-acquired infection*. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials: An Official Journal of The Society for Biomaterials, The Japanese Society for Biomaterials, and The Australian Society for Biomaterials and the Korean Society for Biomaterials*, 2008. **85**(1): p. 220-224.

15. Gwisai, T., et al., *Repurposing niclosamide as a versatile antimicrobial surface coating against device-associated, hospital-acquired bacterial infections*. *Biomedical Materials*, 2017. **12**(4): p. 045010.

16. Salgado, C.D., et al., *Copper surfaces reduce the rate of healthcare-acquired infections in the intensive care unit*. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 2013. **34**(5): p. 479-486.

17. Schmidt, M., *The role of antimicrobial surfaces in hospitals to reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)*, in *Decontamination in Hospitals and Healthcare*. 2020, Elsevier. p. 259-299.

18. Aiello, A.E., et al., *Effect of hand hygiene on infectious disease risk in the community setting: a meta-analysis*. *American journal of public health*, 2008. **98**(8): p. 1372-1381.

19. Mouajou, V., et al., *Hand hygiene compliance in the prevention of hospital-acquired infections: a systematic review*. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 2022. **119**: p. 33-48.

20. Thomas, R.E., et al., *Cleaning and disinfecting surfaces in hospitals and long-term care facilities for reducing hospital-and facility-acquired bacterial and viral infections: a systematic review*. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 2022. **122**: p. 9-26.

21. Boyce, J.M., *Modern technologies for improving cleaning and disinfection of environmental surfaces in hospitals*. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, 2016. **5**(1): p. 1-10.

22. Page, P., *Beyond statistical significance: clinical interpretation of rehabilitation research literature*. *International journal of sports physical therapy*, 2014. **9**(5): p. 726.

Table (1): Summary of findings demonstrating the impact of antimicrobial surfaces on reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs)

Study ID	Size of the Hospital (Beds)	Health Facility	Antimicrobial Surface	Effectiveness (Risk Difference %)	Study Conclusion
Study 1	250	General Hospital	Copper Alloy	32% (18-42%)	Significant reduction in HAIs
Study 2	508	ICU	Silver-Impregnated Material	24% (15-35%)	Moderate reduction in HAIs
Study 3	750	Long-term Care Facility	Self-Disinfecting Coating	12% (5-19%)	Modest reduction in HAIs
Study 4	150	General Hospital	Copper Alloy	28% (16-40%)	Significant reduction in HAIs
Study 5	325	ICU	Silver-Impregnated Material	21% (10-30%)	Moderate reduction in HAIs
Study 6	780	Long-term Care Facility	Self-Disinfecting Coating	14% (7-23%)	Slight reduction in HAIs
Study 7	503	General Hospital	Copper Alloy	36% (20-50%)	Highly significant reduction in HAIs

