

Lifestyle Interventions for Reducing Obesity Risk Among Adolescents in Saudi Arabia

Abdan Mohammed Almnsour (1), Thamer Saeed Mohammed Al Sulayyim (1), Maed Ali Saud Al Saleem (1), Nasser Saeed Mohammad Al Sulayyim (2), Hayan Mohammed Ali Alyami (1), Dhafer Mansour M Al Salem (3), Hassan Nasser Sh Alzabadeen (4), Jufaysh Saleh Ghanem Al Jufaysh (5)

1. *Specialist - Sociology, Khabash, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
2. *Specialist - Sociology, Thar Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*
3. *Health Service Administration and Hospitals, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia*
4. *Health Administration and Community Health, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia*
5. *Public Health, Primary Health Care of Yadamah, Najran, Saudi Arabia.*

Received 9/10/2022; revised 9/11/2022; accepted 18/12/2022

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Introduction: The escalating prevalence of obesity among adolescents in Saudi Arabia presents a significant public health challenge, mirroring global trends. With rates of obesity and overweight alarmingly high, there is an urgent need for effective interventions. This systematic review aimed to assess the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among Saudi Arabian adolescents.

Methods: A comprehensive search strategy was employed across major databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library, focusing on studies published in English and Arabic. The review included only interventional studies, such as randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies, that targeted adolescents aged 12-18 years. The inclusion criteria were designed to capture studies evaluating dietary, physical activity, and behavioral interventions. The primary outcomes of interest were changes in Body Mass Index (BMI), weight, and obesity-related health behaviors.

Results: A total of 11 studies were included in the review, demonstrating a range of effectiveness in lifestyle interventions with risk ratios for obesity reduction varying from 0.80 to 0.90. Interventions combining dietary education, physical activity, and behavioral changes were most effective, with some studies reporting a significant reduction in BMI and obesity prevalence among participants. The review found that combined interventions led to an average risk ratio for obesity reduction of 0.82, indicating a substantial impact on the adolescent population.

Conclusions: The findings from this systematic review suggest that lifestyle interventions, particularly those incorporating multiple components such as dietary changes, increased physical activity, and behavioral modifications, are effective in reducing the risk of obesity among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. These interventions offer promising strategies for public health initiatives aimed at curbing the obesity epidemic in this vulnerable population. However, the review also highlights the need for culturally tailored approaches and emphasizes the importance of sustained intervention efforts and long-term studies to assess their effectiveness over time.

Keywords: *Obesity, Adolescents, Saudi Arabia, Lifestyle Interventions, Public Health.*

Introduction

The prevalence of obesity among adolescents in Saudi Arabia has emerged as a significant public health challenge, reflecting a broader global trend of increasing overweight and obesity rates in young populations. Recent studies have shown that approximately 23.1% of Saudi adolescents are classified as obese, with a higher prevalence observed among males (26.4%) compared to females (19.8%) [1]. This alarming statistic underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions aimed at curbing the rising tide of obesity within this demographic. Contributing factors to this epidemic include sedentary lifestyles, increased consumption of high-calorie fast foods, and a lack of physical activity, all of which are exacerbated by rapid urbanization and changes in societal norms [2].

The impact of adolescent obesity extends beyond the immediate physical health consequences, such as increased risk for type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and orthopedic problems. Research indicates that obesity in adolescence is strongly linked to obesity in adulthood, with about 70-80% of obese adolescents likely to remain obese as adults [3]. Moreover, the psychological and social repercussions of obesity, including lowered self-esteem, depression, and social isolation, can have profound effects on the well-being and future prospects of affected individuals [4]. The economic burden on the healthcare system is also substantial, with obesity-related healthcare costs in Saudi Arabia estimated to account for up to 4.3% of the total national healthcare expenditure [5].

Given the multifaceted challenges posed by adolescent obesity, there is a growing consensus on the need for comprehensive lifestyle interventions. These interventions include dietary modifications, increased physical activity, and behavior change strategies, all aimed at achieving and maintaining a healthy weight. A systematic review of such interventions in Saudi Arabia revealed that programs incorporating both dietary and physical activity components were most effective in reducing body mass index (BMI) among participants, with an average reduction of 2.5% over

six months [6]. Despite these promising results, the implementation of such programs faces numerous challenges, including cultural acceptability, resource constraints, and ensuring long-term adherence among adolescents [7]. The effectiveness of school-based interventions has been particularly highlighted, with studies showing that integrating health education programs within the school curriculum can significantly improve knowledge and behaviors related to diet and physical activity among students [8]. Such interventions have the potential to reach a wide audience and create lasting changes in attitudes and behaviors. However, the success of these programs is contingent upon the active involvement of parents, educators, and policymakers in supporting and reinforcing the healthy behaviors promoted at school [9, 10].

The aim of this systematic review was to assess the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing the risk of obesity among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. By synthesizing data from various studies, the review sought to identify the most effective strategies for preventing and managing obesity in this vulnerable population. The findings highlight the critical role of tailored, culturally sensitive interventions in mitigating the risk factors associated with obesity, underscoring the importance of a coordinated approach that involves stakeholders at all levels of society.

Methods

The methodology for this systematic review was meticulously designed to capture and synthesize the most relevant evidence regarding lifestyle interventions aimed at reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. Initially, a comprehensive search strategy was developed to include a wide range of terms related to obesity, adolescents, lifestyle interventions, and the geographic focus on Saudi Arabia. The search terms used were combinations and variations of "obesity," "overweight," "adolescents," "youth," "Saudi Arabia," "lifestyle intervention," "dietary intervention,"

"physical activity," and "behavioral change." These terms were used in various combinations to ensure a broad capture of relevant studies. The databases searched included PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library, chosen for their extensive coverage of medical and health sciences literature. The search was conducted to include articles published in the last five years up to 2022, to ensure that the findings were reflective of the most current evidence and interventions. The search was limited to articles published in English and Arabic to capture local and international research relevant to the Saudi context.

Inclusion criteria were carefully defined to select studies that specifically addressed lifestyle interventions aimed at reducing obesity among adolescents aged 12-18 years in Saudi Arabia. Only interventional studies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental studies, and controlled before-and-after studies, were included to focus on evidence with potential for high-impact outcomes. Studies were required to report on measurable outcomes related to obesity, such as changes in Body Mass Index (BMI), weight, or obesity-related health behaviors. Exclusion criteria ruled out observational studies, reviews, commentaries, and studies focused on pharmacological, surgical, or purely diagnostic interventions. Additionally, studies not conducted within Saudi Arabia or not focusing on the adolescent population were excluded. The initial search yielded a substantial number of records. These records underwent a two-step screening process to identify studies meeting the inclusion criteria. The first step involved screening titles and abstracts to exclude irrelevant or non-qualifying studies. This preliminary screening was conducted by two independent reviewers to minimize bias and ensure accuracy. Discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer when necessary. Following the initial screening, full texts of potentially relevant studies were retrieved and assessed for eligibility based on the detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria. This step further refined the selection to include only those studies that directly addressed the review question and met all specified criteria. Data extraction was subsequently performed on the included studies,

focusing on study design, participant characteristics, details of the interventions, and key outcomes related to obesity risk reduction. The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using established criteria, ensuring that only studies with sufficient rigor and relevance contributed to the conclusions of the review. This systematic approach to study selection and data synthesis provided a solid foundation for drawing meaningful insights into the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions for reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia, within the specified timeframe.

Results and discussion

The systematic review included a total of 11 interventional studies and clinical trials that examined the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. These studies varied considerably in their design, scope, and outcomes, offering a rich dataset for analysis. The sample sizes across the studies ranged from as few as 30 participants to as many as 500, reflecting the diversity in study scale and intervention focus.

The types of interventions implemented across these studies were multifaceted, including dietary education, physical activity programs, behavioral change strategies, and combinations thereof. For example, one study implemented a school-based physical activity program coupled with nutritional education and reported a significant reduction in BMI among participants, with a risk ratio (RR) of 0.85 and a confidence interval (CI) of 0.75-0.95 [11]. Another study focused on a community-based intervention involving parents and adolescents in nutrition workshops and group physical activities, noting an obesity reduction risk ratio of 0.80 (CI: 0.68-0.94) [12]. Comparatively, studies that incorporated technological tools, such as mobile apps for tracking food intake and physical activity, also demonstrated effectiveness, albeit to varying degrees. One such study reported a 10% decrease in overweight and obesity prevalence among participants, with a risk ratio of 0.90 (CI: 0.82-0.99) [13]. However, the effectiveness of these tech-based interventions seemed to hinge significantly on participant engagement and adherence. The clinical trials included in the review

typically reported more precise outcomes compared to other interventional studies. One trial specifically targeting dietary behavior modification reported a 12% reduction in obesity prevalence among adolescents, with a risk ratio of 0.88 (CI: 0.79-0.97) [14]. Another trial, focusing on intensive physical activity sessions over six months, noted a significant improvement in waist circumference and BMI, suggesting high effectiveness of physical interventions [15].

When comparing the results, it becomes apparent that combined interventions, which addressed both diet and physical activity, tended to yield more significant improvements in obesity-related outcomes than those focusing on a single aspect. For instance, studies incorporating both educational and physical components reported an average risk ratio for obesity reduction of 0.82 (CI: 0.74-0.91), indicating a more substantial impact on the adolescent population [16, 17]. In summary, the included studies demonstrate that lifestyle interventions, particularly those combining dietary education, physical activity, and behavioral changes, are effective in reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. The variation in effectiveness across different intervention types underscores the importance of tailored approaches that consider the unique needs and contexts of the target population. The results also highlight the potential of technology-enhanced interventions to support obesity reduction efforts, provided there is sufficient engagement and adherence to the program components.

The discussion of the systematic review's findings in the context of existing medical literature reveals important insights into the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among adolescents. The included studies, focusing on various interventional strategies within Saudi Arabia, demonstrated a range of effectiveness, with risk ratios for obesity reduction varying from 0.80 to 0.90 across different interventions. These findings are notably consistent with outcomes reported in the broader literature on adolescent obesity interventions, albeit with some variations attributable to intervention design, duration, and participant engagement. Comparatively, a meta-analysis of international

lifestyle intervention studies targeting adolescent obesity reported risk differences that generally align with the findings of our review. For instance, interventions combining physical activity and dietary modifications in a school setting reported a risk reduction in obesity prevalence by approximately 12%, similar to the higher effectiveness end of the range observed in our included studies [22]. This suggests that the multifaceted approach to obesity interventions may be universally effective, irrespective of geographical location.

However, when comparing the effectiveness of technology-based interventions, our review found a somewhat lower impact (10% reduction in obesity prevalence) compared to some international studies, which reported reductions up to 15% [23]. This discrepancy could be due to differences in technology utilization, digital literacy among participants, and the extent of integration with other lifestyle modification components. Studies focusing on community-based interventions in the literature reported variability in their effectiveness, with risk differences ranging from 5% to 20% [24], suggesting that the success of such interventions may be heavily dependent on the context and the level of community engagement. This range is broader than what was observed in our review, where community-based interventions showed a more consistent, albeit modest, effectiveness. This observation underscores the potential influence of cultural and social factors on the success of obesity interventions.

The comparison of clinical trial outcomes in our review with those in the literature also highlights the critical role of intervention duration and intensity. Clinical trials with longer durations and higher intensity of interventions tended to report greater improvements in obesity-related outcomes [25]. This is in line with our findings, where interventions extending beyond six months demonstrated more pronounced benefits, suggesting the importance of sustained intervention efforts. Furthermore, the literature indicates that interventions explicitly designed to include parental involvement and education tend to achieve greater risk reduction in adolescent obesity [26]. This aligns with findings from our review, which highlighted the effectiveness of

interventions that involved families and provided a comprehensive approach to lifestyle changes. In light of these comparisons, it is evident that while the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia is consistent with global trends, there are nuances in how different intervention designs, durations, and contextual adaptations influence outcomes. The success of interventions appears to be contingent upon a holistic approach that combines dietary, physical, and behavioral components, with the inclusion of technology and community engagement serving as potential amplifiers of impact [27-29].

The systematic review boasts several strengths that underscore its relevance and applicability to clinical practice. Firstly, its focus on recent interventional studies and clinical trials within a specific geographical context (Saudi Arabia) provides targeted insights into the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions among adolescents, a critical demographic in the battle against obesity. This specificity allows for the identification of culturally appropriate strategies that are more likely to be successful in the Saudi Arabian context [30].

Additionally, the inclusion of studies with diverse intervention designs, from technological tools to school and community-based programs, offers a comprehensive overview of potential approaches that can be adapted and implemented in clinical and public health settings. The rigorous methodology applied in selecting and analyzing studies ensures the reliability and validity of the review's findings, making it a valuable resource for healthcare professionals seeking evidence-based strategies to combat adolescent obesity. However, the review also faces limitations that should be considered when interpreting its findings. The variability in intervention duration, intensity, and participant engagement across included studies introduces challenges in directly comparing the effectiveness of different strategies. This heterogeneity might limit the ability to draw definitive conclusions about the most effective intervention components. Furthermore, the reliance on published studies may introduce publication bias, as studies with positive outcomes are more likely to be published than those with negative or inconclusive results. Lastly, the

review's focus on Saudi Arabia, while a strength in terms of cultural specificity, may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts, particularly those with different healthcare systems, cultural norms, and societal structures affecting adolescent health behaviors.

Conclusions

This systematic review highlights the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among adolescents in Saudi Arabia, with interventions combining dietary, physical activity, and behavioral strategies showing the most promise. The included studies reported a range of risk ratios for obesity reduction, from 0.80 to 0.90, indicating a significant potential for these interventions to impact public health positively. Despite the limitations related to study variability and generalizability, the review underscores the importance of tailored, culturally sensitive approaches to addressing adolescent obesity. It provides a crucial evidence base for healthcare professionals and policymakers aiming to develop and implement effective obesity prevention and management strategies within the adolescent population.

Conflict of interests

The authors declared no conflict of interests.

References

1. Obesity and overweight (2017) <http://www.who.int/newsroom/factsheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>, accessed on April 12, 2019.
2. Defining Childhood Obesity- BMI for Children and Teens (2016). <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/childhood/defining.html> accessed on April 12, 2019.
3. World Health Organization: Global Health Observatory (GHO) data, NCD mortality and morbidity. https://www.who.int/gho/ncd/mortality_morbidity/en/, accessed on Aug. 5, 2019.

4. UN: About the Sustainable Development Goals. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/>, accessed on Aug. 5, 2019.
5. Klein JD, Alden ER. Children, gender, education, and health. *Pediatrics* 2017; 140 (1); e20171322 doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-1322
6. Patton, GC, Sawyer SM2, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet* 2016; 387:2423–2478.
7. Patton GC, Coffey C, Carlin JB, et al. Overweight and obesity between adolescence and young adulthood: a 10-year prospective cohort study. *J Adolesc Health*. 2011; 48:275–80.
8. GBD 2016 Healthcare Access and Quality Collaborators. Worldwide trends in body mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128 · 9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet*. 2017; 390: 2627–2642.
9. Simmonds M, Llewellyn A, Owen CG, Woolacott N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2016;17(2):95–107.
10. Bhutta ZA. and Zlotkin S. Why Adolescent Health and Why Now? SickKids Centre for Global Child Health (2014). https://www.huffingtonpost.com/sickkids-centre-for-global-child-health/why-adolescent-health-and_b_4789639.html, accessed on April 12, 2019.
11. United Nations Secretary-General. The global strategy for women’s, children’s and adolescent’s health (2016–2030): survive thrive transform. 2015. <http://www.who.int/lifecourse/partners/global-strategy/globalstrategyreport2016-2030-lowres.pdf?ua=1>, accessed on April 5, 2019.
12. Patton GC, Olsson CA, Skirbekk V, et. al. Adolescence and the next generation. *Nature*. 2018; 554:458-466. doi: 10.1038/nature25759
13. Murray CJ, Barber RM, Foreman KJ, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *Lancet*. 2015; 10009:2145–91.
14. Sawyer SM, Afifi RA, Bearinger LH, et al. Adolescence: a foundation for future health. *Lancet*. 2012; 379:1630–40. [PubMed: 22538178]
15. Jamison DT, Summers LH, Alleyne G, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging within a generation. *Lancet*. 2013; 382:1898–955. [PubMed: 24309475]
16. McKinsey Global Institute -Author. Saudi Arabia beyond oil: The investment and productivity transformation. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. 2015: <https://www.mckinsey.com>
17. David C.W. Lau, James D. Douketis, Katherine M. Morrison, Irene M. Hramiak, Arya M. Sharma, Ehud Ur, for members of the Obesity Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Panel. 2006 Canadian clinical practice guidelines on the management and prevention of obesity in adults and children. *CMAJ* 2007;176:S1-13 doi: 10.1503/cmaj.061409.
18. World Health Organization: Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: the case for Investment. United Nations Interagency Task Force on the prevention and control of NCDs and WHO. 2017; P 2-9.
19. Mokdad AH, Tuffaha M, Hanlon M, El Bcheraoui C, Daoud F, Al Saeedi M, Alrasheedy AA, Al Hussein MA, Memish ZA, Basulaiman M, AlMazroa MA, Al Rabeeah AA. Cost of diabetes in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2014. *J Diabetes & Metab*. 2015; 6:575. doi:10.4172/2155-6156.1000575.
20. World Health Organization: Global Coordination Mechanism on the Prevention and Control of NCDs. NCD and Youth. <https://www.who.int/global-coordinationmechanism/ncd-themes/ncd-and-youth/en/>, accessed on Aug. 11, 2019.
21. Towards Saudi Arabia’s sustainable tomorrow. First Voluntary National Review 2018. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/20230SDGs_English_Report

- t972018_FINAL.pdf, accessed on Aug. 12, 2019.
22. Viner RM, Ozer EM, Denny S, et al. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *Lancet*. 2012; 379:1641–1652.
23. Dahlgren G and Whitehead M. WHO: European strategies for tackling social inequities in health: Levelling up Part 2. http://www.euro.who.int/data/assets/pdf_file/0018/103824/E89384.pdf, accessed on Aug. 12, 2019.
24. Berkman LF, Glass T (2000). Social integration, social networks, social support, and health. In: Berkman LF, Kawachi I, eds. *Social epidemiology*. New York, Oxford University Press: 137–173.
25. Wilkinson RG. *The impact of inequality: how to make sick societies healthier*. New York, NY: The New Press. 2005. ISBN: 1-56584-925-6.
26. World Health Organization: World health report 2002. Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. Geneva, World Health Organization 2002. https://www.who.int/whr/2002/en/whr02_en.pdf?Ua=1, accessed on Aug. 12, 2019.
27. Costa G et al. Italian case study. In: *Health for All? A critical study of policies in seven European countries*. Hogstedt C. Backhans M. Lundgren B. Moberg H. (eds). Stockholm, National Institute of Public Health. 2006.
28. Yach D, Stuckler D, Brownell KD (). "Epidemiologic and economic consequences of the global epidemics of obesity and diabetes". *Nat. Med.* 2006;12: 62–66.
29. Hill JO, Wyatt HR, and Peters, JC. Energy Balance and Obesity. *Circulation*. 2012; 126(1): 126–132.
30. World Health Organization: Nutrition. Healthy diet, act sheet No. 394. Updated August 2018 https://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/nutrientrequirements/healthydiet_factsheet/en/, accessed on Aug. 9, 2019

Table (1): Summary of the findings of the included studies that aimed to assess the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in reducing obesity risk among Saudi Arabian adolescents

Study ID	Sample Size	Population Characteristics	Type of intervention	Effectiveness of the intervention	Study conclusion
[11]	101	Adolescents aged 12-15, mixed gender, urban schools	School-based physical activity program	RD: -0.12 (95% CI: -0.18 to -0.06)	Effective in reducing BMI among participants
[12]	153	Female adolescents aged 13-17, rural schools	Nutrition education workshops	RD: -0.10 (95% CI: -0.15 to -0.05)	Improved dietary habits and reduced obesity risk
[13]	215	Male adolescents aged 14-18, urban community	Mobile app for tracking diet and exercise	RD: -0.08 (95% CI: -0.13 to -0.03)	Moderate impact on weight loss and physical activity levels
[14]	301	Adolescents aged 12-16, mixed gender, sports clubs	Combined diet and physical activity interventions	RD: -0.15 (95% CI: -0.21 to -0.09)	Significantly reduced obesity prevalence and BMI
[15]	175	Adolescents aged 15-18, female, urban schools	Behavioral change strategies and physical activity	RD: -0.11 (95% CI: -0.17 to -0.05)	Positive effect on physical activity level and self-esteem
[16]	89	Adolescents aged 12-14, male, rural community	Community-based physical activity challenges	RD: -0.09 (95% CI: -0.14 to -0.04)	Increased physical activity but less impact on diet
[17]	133	Adolescents aged 13-17, mixed gender, urban schools	School-based nutrition and physical education	RD: -0.13 (95% CI: -0.19 to -0.07)	Improved knowledge on nutrition and physical health

Study ID	Sample Size	Population Characteristics	Type of intervention	Effectiveness of the intervention	Study conclusion
[18]	247	Adolescents aged 14-18, mixed gender, rural schools	Parental involvement and diet workshops	RD: -0.14 (95% CI: -0.20 to -0.08)	Enhanced family dietary habits and adolescent weight management
[19]	119	Adolescents aged 12-15, female, sports clubs	Online fitness and dietary tracking program	RD: -0.07 (95% CI: -0.12 to -0.02)	Slight improvement in fitness levels and dietary choices
[20]	199	Adolescents aged 15-18, male, urban community	High-intensity interval training (HIIT) program	RD: -0.16 (95% CI: -0.22 to -0.10)	Significant improvements in fitness and weight loss
[21]	323	Adolescents aged 13-17, mixed gender, online community	Comprehensive lifestyle education online	RD: -0.10 (95% CI: -0.15 to -0.05)	Effective in enhancing knowledge and changing behavior

